

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

9 MICHAEL MALONE, *et al.*,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 CLARK NUBER, P.S., *et al.*,

13 Defendants.  
14  
15

Case No. C07-2046RSL

ORDER REQUESTING RESPONSE  
TO MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION FILED BY THE  
ENTERPRISE DEFENDANTS


16  
17 This matter comes before the Court on the Enterprise Defendants' motion for  
18 reconsideration of the Court's June 23, 2008 order granting in part and denying in part motions  
19 to dismiss filed by several defendants (Dkt. #118, the "Order"). In the motion, the Enterprise  
20 Defendants argue that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over them with respect to plaintiffs'  
21 remaining state law claims. The parties' briefing regarding the motion to dismiss did not address  
22 whether personal jurisdiction would exist if the federal claims were dismissed.

23 Accordingly, the Court requests that plaintiffs file a response to the Enterprise  
24 Defendants' motion for reconsideration no later than August 18, 2008. The response must not  
25 exceed twelve pages in length and should focus on the issue of personal jurisdiction. The  
26 Enterprise Defendants may file a reply, not to exceed six pages, by August 22, 2008.

27 The Clerk of the Court is directed to renote the motion for reconsideration (Dkt. #131) on

1 the Court's calendar for August 22, 2008. The Clerk of the Court is further directed to remove  
2 from the motions calendar the Enterprise Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #128) because, in  
3 their motion for reconsideration, they have requested to withdraw that previously filed motion.  
4

5 DATED this 1st day of August, 2008.  
6  
7

8   
9 Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28